

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,854	(	05/04/2001	Dennis S. Chrobak	6700-1	9836	
21324	7590	12/03/2003		EXAMINER		
HAHN LO TWIN OAK		PARKS, LLP		JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C		
1225 W. MA		<del></del> '		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
AKRON, O	H 44313			1733		

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			a
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/849,854	CHROBAK, DEN	INIS S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adrienne C. Johnsto		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimun du will apply and will expire SIX ( tute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ely. communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	September 2003.		•
	nis action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	vance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to th 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-10 and 22-24 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7 and 22-24 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-10 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	re withdrawn from cons		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requiremen	nt.	
Application Papers			•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		ada bir da 🖶 a seka	•
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t		·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		•	CFR 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  3. Acknowledgment from the International Burg  * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language preference was included in the first sentence of Attachment(s)	ents have been received ents have been received rionty documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) ist of the certified copie estic priority under 35 U first sentence of the sp provisional application hastic priority under 35 U	d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa bes not received. l.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional becification or in an Application has been received. l.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Inter	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	ice of Informal Patent Application (PT	

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claim 24 stands withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 3 (see paragraph 8 in the Office action mailed February 28, 2003 (Paper Number 6)).
- 2. Newly submitted claims 3-7, 22, and 23 as amended are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
- 1) Instant claim 3 no longer requires the toroidal body to be an integral homogeneous toroidal body but now requires a thickened area at the first end of each of the sidewalls, the thickened area including the rim-engaging surfaces rather than the rim-engaging surfaces including a lobe-like portion or projection.
- 2) Instant claim 22 no longer requires the toroidal body to be an integral homogeneous toroidal body or the rim-engaging surfaces to include a lobe-like projection but now newly recites that the sidewalls have a generally concave configuration when the tire is mounted on the rim.
- 3) The inventions are therefore related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the invention of instant claims 3-7 has separate utility such as a tire which is not an integral homogeneous toroidal body but which has a thickened area at the first end of each of the sidewalls, the thickened area including the rim-engaging surfaces, and the invention of instant claims 22 and 23 has separate utility such as a tire which is not an integral homogeneous toroidal body and does not have rim-engaging surfaces including a lobelike projection but which includes sidewalls having a generally concave configuration when the tire is mounted on the rim. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit 1733

- 4) Concerning claims 3-7, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for the instant claims 3-7 was not required for the previously examined invention (for example 152/544), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5) Concerning claims 22 and 23, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper (see for example Vale (1,335,469) in which the tire is not an integral homogeneous toroidal body and the rim engaging surfaces do not include a lobe-like projection but the sidewalls have a generally concave configuration when the tire is mounted on the rim).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 3-7, 22, and 23 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

### Inventorship

3. In view of the papers filed September 12, 2003, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by the addition of James A. Chrobak.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,854 Page 4

Art Unit 1733

### Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

5. The amendment filed September 12, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: in replacement paragraph 0017 the examiner cannot ascertain where there is support in the original disclosure for the lobe-like thickened portions 30 "narrowing in cross section for the sidewall to its end" and applicants have not pointed out support for this addition.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/849,854

Art Unit: 1733

invention. This is both a new matter rejection and a lack of adequate written description rejection.

The examiner cannot ascertain where in the original disclosure there is support for the requirement of a thickened area at the first end of each of the sidewalls, the thickened area including the rim-engaging surfaces rather than the rim-engaging surfaces including a lobe-like portion or projection, and applicants have not pointed out support for this change. Further, if the lobe-like projections or portions recited in the original disclosure are not defined as the somewhat rounded thickened projections which one of ordinary skill in the art would have understood from the original disclosure as a whole, one of ordinary skill in the art would not know the meaning of the term "lobe-like" as applied to the lobe-like projections or portions recited in the original disclosure.

One way to overcome this rejection would be to file a continuation-in-part application which adequately defines the lobe-like projections or portions.

8. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. See paragraph 7 above - this is for the case where applicants reinstate the original "lobe-like" projections or portions without confirming the definition as the somewhat rounded thickened projections which one of ordinary skill in the art would have understood from the original disclosure as a whole.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Once the rejections in paragraphs 7 and 8 are overcome, claims 8-10 would receive favorable consideration as set forth in paragraph 25 of the Office action mailed February 28, 2003 (Paper Number 6).

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (703)308-2059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (703)308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

advance C. Status

Adrienne Johnstone

December 1, 2003